

---

Minutes of the **Regular Meeting** of the **Riverdale City Council** held Wednesday, **July 5, 2006** at 6:00 pm at the Riverdale Civic Center, 4600 South Weber Drive.

Members Present:     Bruce Burrows, Mayor  
                          David Gibby, Councilor  
                          Stacey Haws, Councilor  
                          Shelly Jenkins, Councilor  
                          Gary Griffiths, Councilor  
                          Doug Peterson, Councilor

Others Present:        Larry Hansen, Chief Administrative Officer; Stevin Brooks, City Attorney; Lynn Fortie, Business Administrator; Lynn Moulding, Public Works Director; Randy Daily, Community Development Director; Doug Illum, Fire Chief; Dave Hansen, Police Chief; Marilyn Hansen, City Recorder; other city staff and approximately 9 citizens.

**A. Welcome & Roll Call**

Mayor Burrows called the meeting to order and welcomed all those present. He reported that Councilor Griffiths and Councilor Gibby will be arriving late to the meeting.

**B. Pledge of Allegiance**

Mayor Burrows offered the Pledge of Allegiance. Mayor Burrows gave a quote from President John Adams.

Councilor Griffiths arrived at 6:05 p.m.

**C. Moment of Silence**

Mayor Burrow's quote was followed by a Moment of Silence.

**D. Information Items**

1. **Open Communications**

None.

2. **Mayor's Report**

Mayor Burrows thanked the City staff and the community for the success of the Old Glory Days celebration that was held yesterday. He expressed concern about the safety of the children, during the parade, when candy is tossed out in the street and the children run across the street to get it. He reported that an Open House will be held for retiring Police Chief Wayne Hoaldrige, on July 13, 2006, from 1-3 pm at the Riverdale fire station.

3. **Recorder's Report** *(Review status of Council requested follow-up items)*

There were no comments on the Recorder's Report.

**E. Consent Items**

**1. Consideration of meeting minutes from: June 20, 2006 Council Work Session, June 20, 2006 Regular City Council**

Mayor Burrows asked if there were any changes to the minutes included in their packets. There were no changes.

**2. Consideration of new Business License Applications for the months of May and June, 2006.**

Councilor Haws inquired why the new business license applications were included in the Consent Items. It was his understanding that these were an informational item only. Councilor Jenkins stated that the Council has requested to see only the Liquor License Applications.

**Motion:** Councilor Haws moved to approve the consent items as presented. Councilor Jenkins seconded the motion.

**Call the Question**

The motion passed unanimously.

**F. New Action Items**

**1. Department of Business Administration**

**a. Public Hearing to receive comments on declaring certain personal property as surplus to the needs of Riverdale City.**

Mayor Burrows reported that he has the proof of publication as required by law for this public hearing to take place. Mr. Hansen explained that the Department Heads identify items that are no longer necessary for their use, and in order to dispose of items, we present those items to the Council for approval to declare those items as surplus.

Mayor Burrows opened the public hearing at 6:16 p.m. There were no comments from the public.

**Motion:** Councilor Haws moved to close the public hearing. Councilor Jenkins seconded the motion.

**Call the Question**

The motion passed unanimously. The public hearing was closed at 6:16 pm.

Councilor Gibby arrived at 6:17 p.m.

**b. Consideration of declaring certain personal property as surplus to the needs of Riverdale City.**

Councilor Haws inquired if any police cars were retained to be used as decoy or back ups. Police Chief, Dave Hansen reported that two vehicles have been kept from the last fleet. Councilor Haws asked if a Dutch Auction will be used to get rid of the smaller items. Larry Hansen replied that they would like to conduct the Dutch Auction at the Community Center and advertise the auction in the August newsletter. He noted that written bids will be taken on the vehicles and those will be brought back to Council. Councilor Peterson pointed out that the surplus list shows computers valued over \$100 and he doesn't feel that these computers have a

value over \$100. Mr. Hansen explained that many of the computers won't sell for \$100 at the Dutch Auction. Councilor Griffiths asked if the City has used E-Bay to sell any of the surplus items. Mr. Hansen reported that the City has sold a couple of phone systems on E-Bay.

**Motion:** Councilor Haws moved to approve the list of surplus items. Councilor Griffiths seconded the motion.

### **Call the Question**

The motion passed unanimously.

- c. **Consideration of Ordinance 660 amending Title 1, Chapter 7, Section 2(A) & (B) by providing for expense allowance amendments; a per meeting increase setting new salaries for the Mayor and Councilmembers; and further amending Title 1, Chapter 7F, Section 6 salary of the Justice Court Judge.**

Mr. Hansen reported that this salary increase is part of the package considered with the recently approved budget and noted that the money has been appropriated to cover these changes. Councilor Jenkins explained that she is speaking for herself, but feels that given the current circumstances with the recent rulings of the State Legislature, she is not personally in favor of increasing the monthly amount for a City Councilmember. Ms. Jenkins stated that she feels that the compensation is fair for the meetings she attends and that the constituents recognize that they give themselves a raise each year. Councilor Griffith inquired if the Council has been given a raise each year. Mr. Hansen replied that the increase each year, has been in line with the salary raise for the employees and the increase this year represents approximately a 5% increase. Councilor Peterson reported that he voted against the pay increase when he was on City Council before, because he felt that a Councilmember should not vote for their own pay increase. He reported that he now feels that it is wiser to institute a modest pay increase each year to attract the best caliber of candidates to serve the City. He also pointed out that by increasing the pay each year, there isn't a large gap to make up when the Council does decide to give themselves a raise. Councilor Jenkins explained that under normal circumstances that she is in agreement with Councilor Peterson, but that she doesn't feel that the circumstances are normal. Councilor Gibby agreed that things are tentative but that there is always the hope that things can change for the better. He feels that it is best to keep the increases modest so that later, there isn't a large gap to keep in line with other cities. Councilor Haws stated that the salary scale is one year behind as the survey relies on last year's salary data to give city workers and the City Council their increase. Mr. Hansen explained that this ordinance also includes the salary for the Judge and not just the Mayor and City Council.

**Motion:** Councilor Gibby moved to approve Ordinance 660, amending Title 1, Chapter 7, Section 2(A) & (B) by providing for expense allowance amendments; a per meeting increase setting new salaries for the Mayor and Councilmembers; and further amending Title 1, Chapter 7F, Section 6 salary of the Justice Court Judge. Councilor Peterson seconded the motion.

Councilor Jenkins reported that she does not intend to accept the increase. Mr. Hansen advised her to send something in writing to the Human Resources Department requesting that her compensation remain the same.

### **Call the Question**

**Roll call vote:** Councilor Peterson, Yes; Councilor Griffiths, Yes; Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Haws, No; and Councilor Jenkins, No. The motion passed with three in favor and two opposed.

2. Department of Legal Services

**a. Consideration of action on 550 West Eminent Domain for road construction.**

City Attorney, Steve Brooks reported that he is trying to keep this item moving forward. He noted that the concern with the Boyer/Sound Warehouse development is that the Boyer Company is coming before the Planning Commission next week for approvals and they want to begin building this Fall. Mr. Brooks stated that we are running out of time as the law requires us to negotiate in good faith but we have not been actively involved in the Boyer/Sound Warehouse negotiations. In order for this development to go through, Sound Warehouse must move so that there can be a signalized intersection at 550 West. Mr. Brooks explained that if the Council gives permission to begin Eminent Domain proceedings, we have to give them a minimum 90 day notice, which means November or December before this could be filed. He discussed that it has been over a year since the City gave the initial notice to Sound Warehouse. Mr. Brooks explained that his purpose tonight is to get direction from Council on how to proceed. Mayor Burrows discussed that Council action will allow the City to move into the negotiation position rather than the Boyer Company and deal directly with Sound Warehouse. Councilor Gibby reported that they already made the commitment with Boyer to do this and doesn't think we can delay any longer. He stated that he dislikes eminent domain issues but feels that they have no choice but to proceed down that road. Mr. Brooks explained that they are not there yet, as there could be a settlement between the Boyer Company and Sound Warehouse. Sound Warehouse also has the right to request an Ombudsman and force mediation or arbitration. Councilor Griffiths asked if there has been any communication between Sound Warehouse and the Boyer Company. Mr. Daily indicated that a representative from the Boyer Company was present and could answer that question.

Mr. Brad Galvez from the Boyer Company stated that they worked on purchasing Sound Warehouse several years ago but have not been actively involved with the day to day negotiations with them. He reported that they did locate some property on Riverdale Road, directly north of Big 5, and have the property under option for Sound Warehouse to re-locate. The Boyer Company did send a letter to Sound Warehouse about thirty days ago regarding purchasing this property, but have not heard anything from them. Councilor Haws asked if the Boyer Company received a copy of the appraisal of the Sound Warehouse property. Mr. Brooks indicated that the City passed along the appraisal amount to the Boyer Company. Mr. Hansen noted that Sound Warehouse received a copy of the appraisal. He reported that the Sound Warehouse owner has expressed interest to acquire a facility on Riverdale Road that is far beyond the appraised value of this business and has sent a broker but has been unsuccessful at this point. Mr. Hansen explained that we are at a point where we need to engage the legal process to put an offer on the table, supported by the appraisal. He reported that UDOT has given approval for the signalized intersection at 550 West and that the RDA budget was intended to provide for completion of road and improvements. He reported that we need to officially continue the statutory process and need Council's approval to proceed. Mr. Brooks explained that the City is far from actually filing but that we need to start taking more affirmative actions. Mr. Hansen reported that the property owner on East side of Riverdale Road has agreed to make the necessary arrangements to build the intersection and noted that

there are other parties involved to get permission and cooperation. Mayor Burrows discussed that we are not at a stage where we are taking any action, but staff is requesting to be allowed to enter into negotiations.

**Motion:** Councilor Jenkins moved allow staff to enter into formal negotiations on this proceeding, preceding any eminent domain type of action. Councilor Peterson seconded the motion.

Councilor Haws felt that an eminent domain action had been approved prior to this. Mr. Brooks stated that he didn't remember anything specifically, just that Council approved the appraisal. Mr. Hansen reported that they had agreed in principle with the Developer that they would proceed with the RDA in good faith to consider a reimbursement agreement. This agreement has been drafted and is being marked up. Councilor Peterson stated that he is baffled that Sound Warehouse is not here and feels that we need to hear from them. He wonders if this really isn't that important to them or do they feel that things are moving favorable in their direction. Councilor Peterson discussed that we have to deal with this and act in good faith with Boyer and with them and the only sure fire way to work through the sales tax issue is to facilitate this to go through.

#### **Call the Question**

**Roll call vote:** Councilor Griffiths, Yes; Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Haws, No; Councilor Jenkins, Yes and Councilor Peterson, Yes. The motion passed with four in favor and one opposed.

#### **b. Discussion of issues related to the West Bench Right-of-Way.**

City Attorney, Steve Brooks reported that he has contacted the County's Civil Attorney and explained the City's dilemma, but that they are hitting a brick wall. After speaking with Attorney Jody Burnett, Mr. Brooks and Mr. Burnett agree that the City has nothing to vacate as the City doesn't own the roads. He reported that we will be trying some other means to contact them through administration and are hopeful that by getting the Mayor involved will be able to get the County to move. Randy Daily talked with the County Records office and they told him that we could file the vacation of the roads but it means nothing to them as a title search will still show that the County owns the roads. Councilor Jenkins asked who paid for the roads. Mr. Brooks reported that he assumed the County paid for them, but we have maintained them ever since then. Mr. Moulding reported that Mr. Daily has discussed this issue with an employee at UDOT and they told him that as far as they are concerned these roads belong to the City. Mr. Hansen explained that he discussed surveying the roads and then quit claiming our interest to the adjacent property owners, but this could cause a problem to clear title. He reported that Utah Power & Light needs to build their substation and that we have talked to the best land use attorney in the state and he is scratching his head. Councilor Griffiths inquired if there are any case histories determining who owned roads and who was responsible for them. Mr. Brooks stated he hasn't looked at any case histories, but that the official legal record shows the County as being the owner of these roads. Mayor Burrows stated that he will try to meet with the County officials and asked Mr. Brooks to give another update at the next meeting.

### 3. Department of Community Development

#### **a. Consideration of discussion of recommending to Planning Commission amending or repealing Title 10, Chapter 14-7: FENCE HEIGHT; OBSTRUCTION OF VIEW.**

Councilor Haws reported that in the Fall of 2004, the Council studied this issue extensively and came up with a new set of rules that they determined would be as fair as possible and still have some aesthetics and safety issues addressed in it. At the time it was discussed that the reason the prior fence ordinance had not been enforced rigorously was because a number of installed fences were in violation and it was not clear if the Council had the political will to enforce the ordinance and make people remove their fences. The Council expressed their political will that new fences would need to follow the guidelines in the new fence ordinance and that violations would be enforced. Councilor Haws stated that concessions have been given to homeowners that has rendered the Ordinance ineffective and he feels that if we are not going to enforce it then we should remove those issues, specifically the corner lot issue. Councilor Peterson stated his frustration to have this come up as he doesn't think the Ordinance is ineffective. He stated that if the Council is looking to craft a perfect Ordinance or Statute that they are on a fools errand as there is no perfect ordinance to account for all situations. He feels there needs to be common sense and a review of specific circumstance or situations. Councilor Peterson explained that it works perfectly to have Mr. Daily review special circumstances. Councilor Griffiths stated that he lives on a corner lot and Mr. Daily explained the fence rules. He wasn't happy with them, but he understood them and complied with those rules. He stated that he didn't need enforcement, but information. Councilor Griffiths agrees with Councilor Peterson that the Ordinance is there for a number of reasons and having the ordinance in place solves a lot of potential problems for people moving in and building fences. Councilor Jenkins reported that she is not willing to do away with Ordinance. She stated that once a motion is passed and unanimously agreed to by the Council on what a new Ordinance is, then we need to step back and allow staff to do their job and enforce the Ordinance without Council interference. Mayor Burrows discussed that there are no perfect ordinances and we need to allow flexibility along with common sense in each of these situations that arise. He feels if we allow staff to do their job and do their best at making judgment calls to follow the spirit of the law, then we can prevent unsafe situations and not be punitive in situations that are in violation. He explained that if we are allowing an unsafe situation to exist then we have an obligation to act on that.

Councilor Haws reported that when Council implemented the Ordinance it was indicated that there were no problem with safety issues in regard to corner side lots, but the safety issue was sight triangle situations. He stated that the changes he is suggesting to the Ordinance, removes the restriction on the corner lot fences and doesn't have anything to do with safety issues. Mr. Daily explained that the new fence Ordinance changed the fence setback on a corner lot from 5' to 10'. Councilor Jenkins discussed the issues with allowing fences to come out to the sidewalk and the line of sight issues related to that. Councilor Gibby stated he understands that we want to protect the issue of safety and be sensitive to sight triangle issue, but he wants to allow property owners flexibility. He stated that he has looked at other new developments in other cities and has no problem allowing fences as long as no safety issue is involved.

**Motion:** Councilor Haws moved to recommend to the Planning Commission the modifications to the Fence Ordinance 1014-7 as marked up. Councilor Gibby seconded the motion.

### **Call the Question**

**Roll call vote:** Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Haws, Yes; Councilor Jenkins, No; Councilor Peterson, No and Councilor Griffiths, No. The motion failed with two in favor and three opposed.

4. Department of Public Works

a. **Consideration of replacing the water main and installing a fire hydrant at Burton Lane (1180 W. 4400 S.)**

Mr. Moulding reported that this area of the city has older pre-existing homes on a private lane without adequate fire protection. The City is requesting to replace the 2" water main with an 8" water main and install a fire hydrant. Mayor Burrows asked if this item was in the budget. Mr. Moulding reported that this item has not been budgeted and will cost between \$30,000 - \$40,000. Mr. Hansen explained that the water fund is an Enterprise Fund and that there are fund balances available to take pay for this work. Councilor Griffith inquired how many homes would be served by the fire hydrant. Mr. Moulding stated that the fire hydrant would serve as many as 8 homes. Councilor Gibby inquired why they are crossing 4400 with the water main. Fire Chief Doug Illum stated that the current fire hydrant is over 600 feet away and the City Ordinance as well as the National Fire Code requires that a fire hydrant be within 300 feet of any structure in our City. Councilor Griffiths reported that he owns property and recently he had to pay a portion of the cost to bring a fire hydrant in. He asked if the homeowners are responsible to pay any of the cost. Mr. Moulding explained that the City has upgraded water mains and fire hydrants that were built during the same time period and feels the Enterprise Fund should pay for this cost as these residents have been contributing to this fund.

**Motion:** Councilor Jenkins moved to approve replacing the water main and installing a fire hydrant at Burton Lane. Councilor Gibby seconded the motion.

Councilor Peterson asked if there has been any interest to make a development in this area. Mr. Daily explained there is always that possibility, but a developer would have to meet City standards and nothing is being looked at right now.

**Call the Question**

**Roll call vote:** Councilor Haws, Yes; Councilor Jenkins, Yes; Councilor Peterson, Yes; Councilor Griffiths, Yes and Councilor Gibby, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

b. **Consideration of Resolution 17-2006 adopting the extension of the Robinson Waste Services contract with necessary amendments.**

Mr. Moulding distributed a fax to the Council from Mr. Robinson regarding the Spring and Fall cleanup. He stated that they have discussed changing the way the cleanup is done, but decided to leave it the way it is. Mr. Robinson is asking that the City place stronger language in the City newsletter asking citizens to bundle loose branches and bring someone to help them unload. These two items would help speed up the unloading process and allow Mr. Robinson's employees to do their job and not spend their time unloading debris. Mayor Burrows agreed that these items need to be addressed and suggested providing another area for residents to bundle loose branches. Councilor Jenkins noted that South Ogden has a program that provides residents with low-cost dumpsters for someone who may be remodeling or re-shingling their roof. She asked if anyone knew if South Ogden helped to defray the cost of these dumpsters as she feels this is a great benefit to their residents. Steve Robinson from Robinson Waste discussed that he has looked at a lot of different clean up options and that there seemed to be drawbacks to all of them. He explained that roll offs are expensive and that there is no control on who is placing debris and what type of debris is being placed in the dumpsters. Councilor Jenkins reported that she owns property in another state and when they requested a dumpster,

they were given a set of rules and a list of what perishables could be dumped. A discussion was held on providing a curbside pickup for cleanup items, twice a year to help alleviate the long lines during the Fall and Spring cleanups. Mr. Robinson explained that they have tried doing an automated curbside pickup, but there hasn't been much participation. Councilor Gibby discussed having a chipper available during the clean-up and making the wood chips available to anyone who wanted them. Mr. Robinson noted that a few cities do that and let the residents come and get it. Councilor Haws asked if the changes to paragraphs 14 and 15 address the garbage pickup at the Senior Center and payment from the RDA. Mr. Hansen reported that payment of the garbage fees will continue to be handled the same with regard to the Senior Center.

**Motion:** Councilor Gibby moved to approve Resolution 17-2006, A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AMENDED AGREEMENT WITH ROBINSON WASTE SERVICES. Councilor Peterson seconded the motion.

**Call the Question**

**Roll call vote:** Councilor Jenkins, Yes; Councilor Peterson, Yes; Councilor Griffiths, Yes; Councilor Gibby, Yes and Councilor Haws, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

**c. Consideration of Resolution 19-2006 adopting the 2005 State of Utah Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Report.**

Mr. Moulding reported that the Councilmembers received a copy of the report in their packets. He noted that this Annual report is a self-assessment report that he filled out and needs to be returned to the State by July 1, 2006.

**Motion:** Councilor Haws moved to approve Resolution 19-2006, MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER PLANNING PROGRAM RESOLUTION. Councilor Gibby seconded the motion.

**Call the Question**

**Roll call vote:** Councilor Peterson, Yes; Councilor Griffiths, Yes; Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Haws, Yes and Councilor Jenkins, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

5. Police Department

**a. Consideration of Resolution 20-2006 adopting an Interlocal agreement with Weber County for animal control services.**

Police Chief, Dave Hansen reported that this resolution renews the Animal Control Agreement with Weber County. He explained that he has been advised that fees will not increase this year.

**Motion:** Councilor Gibby moved to approve Resolution 20-2006 A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN RIVERDALE AND WEBER COUNTY FOR ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES. Councilor Peterson seconded the motion.

**Call the Question**

**Roll call vote:** Councilor Griffiths, Yes; Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Haws, Yes; Councilor Jenkins, Yes and Councilor Peterson, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

**b. Consideration of Resolution 18-2006 adopting the Northern Utah Public Safety Network Access Point (NUPS-NAP) Agreement with Ogden City.**

Police Chief, Dave Hansen reported that Ogden City received a grant to run the RMS system which gives law enforcement and fire departments access to reports. He explained that part of this system is under a Federal Grant that should pay most of the cost. Chief Hansen reported that they feel they have funding for this program to cover the next 11 or 12 months, but if they run short it will cost the City \$180 for the Fire Department and \$180 for the Police Department. Mayor Burrows reported that this cost is a connection fee for us to belong to this program. Councilor Gibby asked if we were paying for the phone line or service. Chief Hansen explained that it is a little of both as we have a dedicated phone line but we have to pay for the connection as well as servicing this line. Councilor Jenkins noted that the monthly rate is \$184 to Qwest and asked if this is the cost. Chief Hansen stated he feels the most we will need to pay this year is \$184 for the Fire Department and \$184 for the Police Department as the rest should be covered under the grant. He explained that they won't know until next year how much the grant will cover.

**Motion:** Councilor Gibby moved to adopt Resolution 18-2006, A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT WITH OGDEN CITY CORPORATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NORTHERN UTAH PUBLIC SAFETY NETWORK ACCESS POINT (NUPS-NAP). Councilor Griffiths seconded the motion.

Councilor Jenkins noted that the Executive Summary shows a cost of \$9,000 per year and asked if this will be the cost without the grant. Larry Hansen explained that Chief Hoaldrige brought this up during the Budget Work session and stated that they felt that the first year would be covered and they would make an assessment if our T-1 line covered this cost. Councilor Jenkins asked if are we approving \$9,000. Mr. Hansen explained that we are approving the Agreement to pay the \$184/month per department. Councilor Jenkins asked if the cost could be up to \$9,000. Chief Hansen stated that theoretically it could be, but most likely not.

**Call the Question**

**Roll call vote:** Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Peterson, Yes; Councilor Haws, Yes; Councilor Jenkins, Yes and Councilor Griffiths, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

6. Mayor

**a. Consideration of setting a date, place and time for a town meeting.**

Mayor Burrows reported that he would like to set a date to hold a town meeting to discuss Ritter Drive traffic issues and other topics that the Council would like to discuss. Larry Hansen suggested that the town meeting be scheduled for mid-August or later so that it can be advertised in the August City newsletter, unless the Council would like to only advertise the meeting in the Standard Examiner. Councilor Griffiths suggested scheduling the meeting in September after school starts, as the bus stops on Ritter are a key issue. Mayor Burrows explained that if the one way test on Ritter Drive is presented and approved, the school district would need to be notified and it would be better to change the bus routes before school starts. Councilor Haws suggesting holding the meeting in mid-August and they could start the one-way test of Ritter Drive within a week of the meeting. Mayor Burrows explained that there would not be an approval at the meeting and he thinks it would have to be brought back to a Council meeting for approval of a one-way test. Mr. Hansen reported that they have been

getting a lot of feed back and it is not encouraging as far as a one-way test is concerned. Councilor Griffiths stated that the purpose of an open meeting is to discuss what the options are not just that we run a one-way test. Mayor Burrows noted that there was consensus from the Council at the Strategic Planning session in May to try the one-way test. Councilor Jenkins stated that presenting the one-way test is one of the options, but she also looks at this as being a neighborhood meeting. Mayor Burrows discussed that we want to have as many options or variations that make sense. He noted that Riverdale Road will be widened in 2008 and once that is done it will alleviate some of the problems on Ritter Drive, but during construction it will heighten the problems with Ritter Drive. Councilor Jenkins discussed that whatever the makeup of this meeting is, it is her hope that they walk away with an expectation and consensus. She wants to make this meeting a working meeting and structure it differently. Mr. Hansen asked the Council if there is still consensus to do the one-way test. He explained that it is probably optimistic to think we will convene a meeting and come away with an answer. Mayor Burrows explained that part of the reason they have not acted on Ritter Drive is because there is no clear way to go to address and solve the problem. He reported that Mr. Moulding, staff and outside people have said the one-way test is not a good idea. Representatives from UDOT expressed their concern about doing a one-way test and stated that if the City wants to make Ritter Drive one-way, they should just do it and not perform a test. Councilor Jenkins stated that the reason behind having a test is so the positive or negative impacts can be evaluated. Mayor Burrows asked if there is a cost difference in doing a test or making it permanent. Mr. Moulding replied there would be a difference in cost. Councilor Gibby asked if it would be another \$10,000 to put it back. Mr. Moulding replied that it would cost more than that, as signs need to be put up at every intersection as well as the entrance and exits, and the striping would need to be removed from the pavement. Councilor Griffiths expressed his concern for public safety as speed increases as vehicles come down the road. Councilor Haws noted that he didn't feel that making Ritter Drive a one-way east bound street would improve safety. He also noted that in order to make the one-way test work, the road needs to be one-way from the bottom to the top and not just half-way, otherwise there needs to be a mechanism for traffic to leave the road. Mayor Burrows stated that there are concerns with safety and the answers are not apparent. He asked for a date to hold the town meeting and then suggested that they make proposals for the format and content of the meeting once the date has been established.

**Motion:** Councilor Gibby moved to hold a town meeting on August 10, 2006 at 7:00 p.m. at the Senior Center to focus on Ritter Drive transportation problems. Councilor Peterson seconded the motion.

#### **Call the Question**

The motion passed unanimously.

#### **G. Discretionary Items**

There were no discretionary items.

**H. Adjournment**

With no further business to come before the Council at this time, Councilor Gibby moved to adjourn the meeting. Councilor Peterson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at approximately 8:56 p.m.

Attest:

Approved: July 18, 2006

\_\_\_\_\_  
Marilyn Hansen, City Recorder

\_\_\_\_\_  
Bruce Burrows, Mayor