

Minutes of the **Regular Meeting** of the **Riverdale City Council** held Tuesday, **February 7, 2006** at 6:00 pm at the Riverdale Civic Center, 4600 South Weber Drive.

Members Present: Bruce Burrows, Mayor
 David Gibby, Councilor
 Gary Griffiths, Councilor
 Stacey Haws, Councilor
 Shelly Jenkins, Councilor
 Doug Peterson, Councilor

Others Present: Larry Hansen, City Administrator
 Lynn Fortie, Business Administrator
 Lynn Moulding, Public Works Administrator
 Steve Brooks, City Attorney
 Cindi Mansell, City Recorder

 Ralph Andersen
 Pat Crezee
 Dena Boumakis
 Christine Koloveas

Mayor Burrows called the meeting to order and welcomed those present. Councilor Haws offered the Pledge of Allegiance. Mayor Burrows then read a quote by Benjamin Franklin regarding the education of youth; followed by a Moment of Silence.

Mayor's Report

Mayor Burrows reported there is a Town Meeting scheduled for Thursday, February 16, 2006 at 6:00 p.m. at the Riverdale Senior Center. He stated Brad Dee and Governor Huntsman, Jr. will be present to address important issues facing our State Legislature.

Mayor Burrows reported that the groundbreaking ceremony for the I-15 NOW (extension and expansion) project will take place on Friday, February 10, 2006 at 12:30 p.m. on the southeast side of I-15 and 12th Street. He stated it is hopeful the project will be extended to 2700 North during the current legislative session.

Mayor Burrows discussed other road projects, stating the 300 West project contract has been awarded. He stated there is not a scheduled date, but construction should begin around March 1, 2006 on this long-awaited project.

Mayor Burrows provided an update on the Norm Frost/Combe project in Washington Terrace. He stated everything has been completed except the signoff on the sewer connection. He stated recent discussions reveal that Washington Terrace has no comment if all infrastructure resides on Riverdale property; and if in fact, the piping in question belongs to the Sewer District and not Washington Terrace. He stated if this is the case, Washington Terrace would have nothing to say about the connection.

Recorder's Report

Mayor Burrows reported that several items contained on the Recorder's Report are to be addressed at the upcoming Strategic Planning meetings.

Ms. Mansell provided a brief update relative to the Records Management process, storage and maintenance. She noted the type and amount of records as contained in both the active and inactive archives; as well as the LaserFiche imaging system.

Councilor Griffiths inquired as to whether or not training is provided to employees relative to GRAMA issues; and specifically inquired as to whether there is something in place concerning e-mail. He stated he could provide relative documentation to Administration.

Consent Items

Approval of Minutes

Mayor Burrows reported the City Council has before them the minutes of the Work Session and Regular Meetings of January 17, 2006; and the Special Meeting of January 24, 2006. There were no amendments necessary.

Councilor Haws addressed the Closed Executive Session as conducted at the January 17, 2006 meeting relative to the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual. He stated in regards to military leave/pay, he would just like to disclose that he is uncomfortable in stating discussion took place regarding a specific individual in this instance. He stated that entire meeting could have been conducted on topic, without ever having to reference an employee by name. Councilor Haws expressed concern that even the topic of discussion did not rise to the level of necessitating a Closed Executive Session; and in protest, he will not vote in approval to conduct a Closed Session the remainder of the fiscal year.

Motion: Councilor Gibby moved to approve the Common Consent items as proposed. Councilor Peterson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Open Communications

Mayor Burrows extended welcome to the Bonneville High School DECA Club.

Ralph Andersen, DECA Advisor, addressed the Mayor and City Council. He introduced Dena Boumakis and Christine Koloveas, Chapter Officers in the Student Association for Marketing. Introductions of family members present were also conducted.

Mr. Andersen explained the students have prepared a 30-page research paper on Promoting Entrepreneurship as their project this year; and as part of that project, would like to provide a short presentation to illustrate what they have been working on. He stated they will also be presenting their project in the National Competition; and were state finalists at a recent state competition. He stated the DECA Program at Bonneville High School has gone crazy in the last few years.

Christine Koloveas stated this marketing class helps many students in many areas of interest. She stated they chose Riverdale to present their project because they felt it relative due to all the business opportunities and locations in this small city.

Dena Boumakis explained they have written a marketing research plan on hospitality and recreation.

Ms. Koloveas explained the DECA Diamond, or areas of learning and activity that define and complete the DECA program. These include: social intelligence, civic consciousness, leadership development, and vocational understanding. Ms. Boumakis recited various examples of these areas.

Mayor Burrows thanked those present for their efforts; commending these students for their learning activities.

Introduction of Boy Scout

Colton Weeks addressed the Mayor and City Council. He explained his is a Boy Scout with Troop #187 in the 8th Ward. He introduced his mother, Shelly Weeks, and stated his Scout Leader is Todd Howard.

Mayor Burrows welcomed Mr. Colton and informed him that staff has information packets available to assist him in working on this particular Merit Badge.

Ordinance #653 - Justice Court Judge Salary Amendment

Public Hearing

Mr. Brooks discussed the hiring of a new judge last summer, stating the old code reflected a part-time judge paid at a salary of \$50 per hour. He stated when Riverdale recently entered into a new contract; amendments were made to the hours worked and monthly salary. He stated the proposed ordinance provides for amendments to the code, and the public hearing adheres to the legal requirement necessary when providing consideration to changing salaries for elected officers and officials.

Inquiry was raised as to whether the new contract provides a savings to the City from the previous contract. Mr. Brooks stated the current contract works to the benefit of both the City and the judge. He stated the judge is required to put in between 12-20 hours per week; and even with the minimum amount of work, the City is still coming out ahead.

Mayor Burrows explained a public hearing is necessary to receive comments from the citizens of Riverdale concerning the proposed salary amendment. He then opened the public hearing for public comment at approximately 6:36 p.m. He affirmed proof of publication.

Motion There being no public comment, Councilor Gibby moved to close the Public Hearing at 6:37 p.m. Councilor Jenkins seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Motion: Councilor Gibby moved to adopt Ordinance #653 amending Title 1, Chapter 7, Article (F), Section 6, Wage, to adjust the Salary of the Justice Court Judge as proposed. The motion was seconded by Councilor Peterson.

Roll call vote: Councilor Griffiths, Yes; Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Haws, Yes; Councilor Jenkins, Yes; and Councilor Peterson, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

Consideration of Funding - Well #2 iron and manganese testing

Mr. Moulding discussed the location of Well #2 adjacent to the Water Conservancy Reservoir. He explained Riverdale purchased this well from Roy Secondary Water back in 1988; and discovered shortly thereafter appearance of an iron and manganese problem during use. He stated these minerals result in stains on fences, dishwashers, clothing, stucco, sidewalk, driveways, etc.

Mr. Moulding stated he has had engineers check into what types of remedies are available to deal with this problem. He stated there are a couple of options such as: (1) filtering (which would require a water treatment plant); or (2) sequester by adding chemicals into the water and locking the minerals into place. Mr. Moulding stated a couple of different chemicals were tried yet neither worked successfully; in fact, there were more complaints with than without these types of chemicals.

Mr. Moulding stated in order to be able to utilize this well, he feels he must pursue other avenues and determine where this is coming from. He stated studies have been conducted to local wells in the area, and Hill Air Force Base even published a report some years ago. Apparently, there are two aquifers (sunset and delta). He provided a chart of study illustration with lines representative of the well areas; screened areas on each well; and indication of iron and manganese. He summarized there are no pink marks in the lower part; or one can determine anything above might have iron and manganese problems in the sunset and anything below will not. He stated the study does not make sense and he remains unsure as to where the minerals are coming from.

Mr. Moulding explained there is a process to go in and remove the pump from a well, send a test pump down in, block off between different screened areas, and then test one individual area or two at a time. He stated he believes the source is the upper aquifer, and he believes this type of testing to be the best way to go as well as save money.

Councilor Gibby inquired as to costs to block off the upper aquifer and perform testing. Mr. Moulding explained all charges are based on cost per hour for well drillers to complete their tasks; and he estimates between \$40,000-\$50,000 for just this one part. He stated the budget is \$150,000 for the entire project. He stated after pulling apart, the same production may not be realized. These are unknowns until after testing is completed.

Discussion followed regarding main well usage during summer, with Mr. Moulding stating it is used May through September, 24-hours per day. He stated Well #2 is the backup to this well; and although this well produces more water than Well #1 - it has the iron and

manganese issues and does not provide much value. He stated Well #1 recently had a problem in that the casing rusted through and sand and gravel started getting into the well and destroying the pump. He stated the well driller was able to go in and seal off the area where the casing sealed in and rotted out; and although he believes this action will buy some time, he is not sure how long. He stated it could last up to 10-12 years if they are lucky, because after that the well would have to be redrilled at up to costs of \$500,000 at today's rates. In addition, the well would have to be relocated because there is not much room on the site. He summarized that redrilling is not only going to be expensive, but something will also have to be done with the building at that time as well.

Mr. Moulding stated getting Well #2 up and running and useable is the most important thing to do now before something happens to Well #1. He stated the problem is that once the City contracts for water - they have to buy it forever and pay for it whether they use it or not. He stated he feels it is in the City's best interest to utilize the wells whenever possible; and he would like to request Council direction at this time.

Mr. Moulding stated staff recommendation is to seal off, test pump, and search for the good water. He stated a next step could be considered at that time, as it could be coming from both aquifers. Discussion followed regarding if the openings were capped, with Mr. Moulding stating that would be irreversible. He stated although there is a chance to perforate, you then run the risk of getting large sand and small rocks in the well. He stated this is an option, however, to get the well back into production.

Discussion followed regarding the specifics of testing relative to sealing off the first level, sealing off everything above to run the electrical pump below, and coming back up with water. Mr. Moulding stated water is then pumped for 24 hours, and sampled periodically for chemicals or minerals. He stated even if reduced production is realized from this well, having water rights is important because Well #3 is so low, production is not even noticeable. He stated the Council may also want to look at redrilling this well at some point in the future. Further discussion followed regarding Well #3, with Mr. Moulding stating this actually sits on the golf course and can be discussed at length during Strategic Planning.

Mr. Hansen inquired as to the amount to get this process started. Mr. Moulding requested latitude to utilize up to the budgeted amount.

Motion: Councilor Gibby moved to approve the proposed testing action and repair on Well #2 up to the budgeted amount of \$150,000. Seconded by Councilor Jenkins.

Roll call vote: Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Peterson, Yes; Councilor Haws, Yes; Councilor Jenkins, Yes; and Councilor Griffiths, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

Engineering Services - RFP Authorization

Mr. Moulding explained although the City does not require an engineering change at any specific interval; staff would be comfortable in determining a competitive rate. He stated

the current engineer has performed services for many years. He stated the City may end up selecting the same engineer they currently have, or may not make a selection at all. He stated contracts shall be awarded based on the evaluation of professional qualifications, serviceability, cost of service, and other criteria deemed applicable by the City Council. He stated staff would like to advertise in the local newspaper as soon as possible and are prepared to answer any questions regarding the RFP as written and prepared by Mr. Brooks.

Motion Councilor Gibby moved to authorize issuance of a Request for Proposal for Citywide Engineering Services as proposed. Councilor Jenkins seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Resolution #4-2006 Personnel Policies & Procedures Handbook Amendments

Mrs. Comeau explained there are several Personnel Policy & Procedure Handbook amendments being proposed for clarification and consistency and to make sure current practice matches current policy. She discussed the individual amendments; consisting of background checks, leave donation, workers compensation, calculation of incentive, job performance evaluations, and testing policy.

Mrs. Comeau explained that background checks are not something the City has been doing for pre-employment screening. She stated this is something they would like to start doing on potential employees, as well as for volunteers for leagues, basketball, sports, etc. She stated she would recommend hiring of a third party agency to conduct these checks for Riverdale City.

Inquiry was raised as to cost associated with background checks, with Mrs. Comeau stating the vendor is ChoicePoint and they have pledged to conduct a national search on pre-employment for \$22; and \$11 for volunteers. Inquiry was raised relative to National Crime Information Center (NCIC) criminal background checks as required by law. Mayor Burrows stated this is conducted for Public Safety employee screening.

Mrs. Comeau stated she would like to update the employment application to include verbiage and signed consent to allow a background check. She clarified this is to be done for volunteers as well.

Mrs. Comeau explained the leave donation section is actually new to the policy manual; and involves a type of unwritten practice the City has utilized in the past. She stated the ability to transfer or donate leave from one employee to another is something that can be done to help out other employees wherein they get into a situation where they have exhausted their leave balance due to illness or injury. She stated the policy approval process requires that both department heads (from the person receiving and the person donating the leave) would have to render approval; as well as approval by the City Administrator. Mrs. Comeau stated there is also the provision for appeal to the City Administrator; should one or the other department head offer denial.

Councilor Griffiths inquired as to maximum leave accrual, with Mrs. Comeau stating an employee can accumulate up to 240 hours of annual leave. She stated there is no maximum

amount on personal/sick leave; however, maximum payout of this balance is 1000 hours. She further stated 80 hours of comp time accrual is maximum in that area.

Councilor Jenkins addressed the personal leave policy wherein definitive reasons for use are provided. She inquired if the leave donation policy is relative due to illness of another family member, an employee that was required to be a caretaker, etc. She inquired if the leave donation could be utilized or transferred in such instances, or if it is only available to an actual employee who is ill. Mrs. Comeau stated she would feel it to be similar to the personal leave policy in that it could be extended for family situations as well.

Councilor Peterson inquired as to possible reasoning for denial, with Mr. Hansen stating this would become a judgment call and would really address individuals being frivolous with their leave. He stated the leave donation policy is actually an Administrative Policy that is being brought into the Personnel manual. Inquiry was raised as to frequency of this issue, with Mr. Hansen stating it is very infrequent.

Councilor Haws discussed the scenario wherein all leave is used; and whether the donation can be from current hours or carryover from current balances. He expressed concern as to employees giving up current time, but would understand giving up excess. He stated he would be more inclined to not having employees looking to donate any of their current time. Mr. Hansen stated this could then exclude a number of people from being able to participate in a charitable adventure.

Councilor Gibby inquired as to the parity between pay rates, with Mr. Hansen stating this would be hour-for-hour, without regard. Discussion followed regarding the frequency and approval process, with Mayor Burrows stating he feels this to be a nice benefit for those who, through no fault of their own, have devastating or reoccurring illness.

Mrs. Comeau stated the additions will require renumbering of the various policies as represented.

Mrs. Comeau addressed the Worker's Compensation policy, stating this is just clarification of how the process needs to work. She stated there is now something to follow, as there was not a clear understanding of how the process should work from start to finish. Councilor Peterson inquired as to the language source, with Mrs. Comeau stating the drug testing wording portion comes from the State Law regarding drug testing. She stated other areas are defined as best practice, general practice, or case management.

Mrs. Comeau addressed the Incentive Pay Program policy, stating changes are necessary after the first year experience. She stated this involved a new policy and new software used to conduct performance reviews. She stated issues were found that required change; such as evaluation score being less than 2.5 and probation employees. She stated that initially, performance evaluations were conducted on every anniversary date; however, it was found to be easier to conduct all of these at once in October of every year. She further addressed the Job Performance Evaluation categories, stating these have been changed to match to the new software.

Councilor Griffiths inquired as to a performance impact category, with Mrs. Comeau stating the categories suggested go along with the Performance Evaluation Program (PEP). She stated this is a local Salt Lake City Company that is utilized by other local cities.

Mrs. Comeau stated changes were made to the drug testing policy to go along with the worker's compensation policy; in that safety sensitive positions are now being defined. She stated the post-accident testing wording will go along with what is done with worker's comp liability and to match Utah State Law.

Motion: Councilor Gibby moved to adopt Resolution #4-2006 providing for amendments to the Riverdale City Personnel Policies & Procedures Handbook as proposed. The motion was seconded by Councilor Peterson.

Councilor Haws stated he is not excited about having employees donate leave to others. He stated although the situation may be remedied by the fact that department heads have to approve the leave donation policy, he would still be in favor of making it only available for those hours that have been carried over from one year to the next - rather than for hours accumulated within the year. Councilor Peterson stated although these concerns could be addressed and Administration could pursue alternatives, this is not a frequent type of situation. Councilor Griffiths inquired as to legal issues; and Mayor Burrows inquired as to other entities that offer a leave donation policy.

Councilor Griffiths discussed court challenges associated with leave banks, with Mayor Burrows stating there is a difference between a leave bank and donation for a one-time situation. Councilor Griffiths stated he would still like to see this concept investigated.

Councilor Jenkins stated although it appears there are internal checks and balances that would clear up concerns, there has been discomfort expressed. She inquired if it would make sense to approve all other amendments, with the exception of the leave donation section. Mr. Brooks stated there have been challenges associated with hourly difference; however, he would have to conduct research on other issues. He stated the Council is free to accept all other changes without this portion.

Mr. Hansen stated although he appreciates these comments, Riverdale City does not host a leave bank. He stated this policy involves direct transfer approval from at least two organization levels on an infrequent basis. He stated the Council can choose to make an issue out of this policy; however, staff recommendation is for policy approval. Councilor Gibby stated the policy can always be approved and revisited if there is an issue. Councilor Griffiths stated although this appears to be a wonderful program that works in a team building culture, he is aware of some legal issues. Mrs. Comeau stated she would be happy to research the Office of Personnel Management, Federal Government website, for information to bring back.

Call to Question

Roll call vote: Councilor Peterson, Yes; Councilor Haws, Yes; Councilor Jenkins, Yes; Councilor Griffiths, Yes; and Councilor Gibby, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

Civic Center Entry & Lobby Remodel

Mayor Burrows stated the Council had previously discussed tile replacement in the upstairs entry and lobby. He stated the question was raised at that time as to whether it would be cost effective to remodel other items such as wall covering, countertops, furniture, etc. to create a consolidated appearance instead of having to come back for later remodel. He stated Councilor Jenkins has graciously consented to devote some work to this project.

Councilor Jenkins presented a color palette for display. She discussed the concept of solid surface counters in both the upstairs and downstairs, stating the bid on granite is quite reasonable. She stated the original laminate surface could not be matched in the upstairs reception area, and she would suggest redoing the upper granite at the front counter and the court. She would include replacement with coordinating laminate so not to have to go to the expense of installing solid surface granite at those front counters; and yet still be able to create a uniform appearance.

Councilor Jenkins discussed the tile selection. Councilor Gibby inquired as to a quarry tile, with Councilor Jenkins stating this contains a porous surface that has to be constantly sealed. Councilor Gibby stated he would argue that he feels the tile selected will wear out because the surface wears off easily. Councilor Jenkins explained the selection is a commercial grade tile with commercial wear ratings. She stated the original tile in the foyer has lasted 20 years. She further stated quarry tile requires constant resealing, and much more ongoing maintenance.

Discussion followed regarding the price increase for marble, with Councilor Jenkins stating it would be double. Further discussion followed regarding slate, or some other option of tile that the surface will not wear off. Mr. Hansen expressed concern as to maintenance challenges associated with floor coverings, stating he would recommend nothing for labor intensive maintenance. Councilor Jenkins stated she feels the quarry tile selection available in the appropriate price range was not aesthetically pleasing.

Councilor Jenkins discussed the concept of the larger tiles. She expressed concern, however, as to the potential for shifting or movement due to the trains, etc. She stated she is still investigating as to whether the larger size of tile renders it more susceptible to seismic occurrences. She stated although the larger tiles may create a more beautiful and rich effect, if they are busting up it would not be such a great plan. She stated the larger tiles would also require less grout (to become soiled). Councilor Jenkins stated her suggestions would be to install 12" tile around the perimeter and into the vestibules, and possibly 18" tiles in the center area to dress up the front foyer. She stated this would only be an option if determined there are no structural issues associated with the larger size tiles. Councilor Jenkins stated she would recommend the 12" tiles down the stairs and into the court lobby area and vestibule.

Councilor Jenkins summarized the improvements as consisting of tile and wallpaper, solid surface counters and laminate in addition to the bid already selected. Mr. Hansen stated the original budget approval was for \$15,000. Discussion followed regarding specific costs associated with the various products per square footage, with Councilor Jenkins stating she would estimate an additional \$5,000 to complete everything as discussed in addition to the tile bid. She further stated she would even like to include a new couch for the lobby.

Councilor Peterson stated he feels completing these additional items at the same time as the tile makes sense and will bring the building a more modern appearance. Mr. Hansen stated staff would agree that the City would reap a nice facelift for this extra amount of money; and staff would support getting it all done now and getting it done right.

Discussion followed regarding the funding necessary to complete the remodel, with Councilor Haws inquiring as to where the extra \$10,000 is going to come from. Mr. Fortie stated there was originally \$75,000 included in the budget for Community Center remodel; of which, \$25,000 has been used to replace exercise equipment.

Motion: Councilor Gibby moved to approve a budget not to exceed \$22,000 to provide for remodel of the Civic Center; to include tile replacement, solid surface countertops and coordinating laminate, furniture, wallpaper, etc.; and to allow Councilor Jenkins the discretion to select color/style and any other determinations necessary in completing the desired upgrade. Seconded by Councilor Haws.

Roll call vote: Councilor Griffiths, Yes; Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Haws, Yes; Councilor Jenkins, Yes; and Councilor Peterson, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

Discussion followed regarding the pending remodel timeframe, with Mr. Hansen stating there is the possibility for work to begin on President's Day (February 20, 2006) when the offices are closed for holiday. He stated once the tile is removed on that day; the contractor could work Tuesday and Wednesday nights after 5p.m. to complete the project upstairs. The balance could then be completed the following week in three evening nights. Inquiry was raised as to public meetings scheduled, with Mr. Hansen stating perhaps a note on the door could redirect the public to a different area. He stated perhaps meetings could be moved to the Senior Center during that timeframe as well.

Councilor Jenkins stated perhaps the laminate and solid surface counters could be installed on a Saturday so as not to disrupt the activity of the cashiers during the day. She stated the tile contractor would require an additional \$1800 in overtime to work on a weekend; thus, the option of the weekday evening.

Resolution #5-2006 opposing SB 170, Land Use Amendments

Mayor Burrows explained he had met with the Utah League Policy Committee yesterday at the State Office Building; and many cities have had meetings prior and taken the opportunity to pass the resolution opposing SB 170. He stated the Utah League is opposing

SB 170; citing it as bad legislation in any way, shape or form. He stated they do not feel it to be amendable to be equitable to any party; as it takes away and disregards the opportunity for public input at city and county levels.

Mayor Burrows stated his recommendation, in accordance, is to adopt a resolution in support of these other cities and the ULGT opposing SB 170 on Land Use Amendments.

Councilor Peterson stated the bill is actually sponsored by Senator Mansell, not Senator Bell. He stated his perspective is that this bill is unfair and is being presented by someone who has done well in developing real estate his entire life. He stated he feels the Senator is aware of the issues and believes checks and balances need to be in place. He stated he feels it would upset a balance for development of lands if this bill were to pass; and the Council needs to voice opposition and not allow this to come to place.

Mayor Burrows stated he would agree this bill would truly be an infringement on any city, municipality or county government to act prudently when it come to the own fiscal well-being in a city pertaining to land use.

Councilor Haws stated agendas listed within the Standard-Examiner noted a number of cities with consideration of adoption of a similar resolution. He stated the language in this draft model appears to have been diplomatically phrased; as some of the other cities have drafted their own which were much less diplomatically phrased. He stated there has been significant movement on revising SB 170, and it is really quite unfortunate that Senator Mansell felt the need to send a wakeup call to the cities in this manner. He stated he would very much support passing this resolution opposing the bill in any form.

Motion: Councilor Haws moved to adopt Resolution #5-2006 expressing strong opposition to Senate Bill 170, Land Use Amendments, and requesting that our Senators and Representatives vote against this bill; and amending the sponsor from Senator Bell to accurately reflect Senator Mansell. The motion was seconded by Councilor Gibby.

Roll call vote: Councilor Gibby, Yes; Councilor Haws, Yes; Councilor Jenkins, Yes; Councilor Peterson, Yes; and Councilor Griffiths, Yes. The motion passed unanimously.

Lobbying Status & Update

Mr. Hansen distributed a Utah League of Cities and Towns 2006 Legislative Session position paper. Discussion followed regarding the various bills being provided consideration.

Discretionary Business

NIMS Reminder

Mr. Hansen reported that the Public Safety Director has requested that a reminder and encouragement be given for all elected officials and staff positions that would respond in case of emergency situations to successfully take and pass the NIMS course. He stated this is necessary in order to remain eligible for FEMA funding.

Land Use Training

Mr. Hansen referenced the Land Use Training handout with Jody Burnett at the Certified Citizen Planner Seminar. He stated this is applicable to issues regarding land use/zoning, etc.

Civic Center Ventilation Issues

Councilor Jenkins stated due to the remodel, she has spent some time at the Civic Center. She expressed concern as to the lack of ventilation in the upstairs areas, stating sometimes people carry in odors that linger forever and do not go away. She stated there does not appear to be air flowing in this area; and she felt that as the Council considers long-term budget considerations, perhaps this is something worthy of consideration. She stated employees appear to either be too hot or too cold in all areas; and she would question efficiency.

Mr. Hansen stated this item can certainly be placed on the buildings and grounds Strategic Planning discussion list. He stated the building is of poor design with regards to engineering and architectural work.

Roller Hockey/Racquetball - Recreation Programs

Councilor Haws requested that the Recreation Department look into the possibility of offering the sport of Roller Hockey or Racquetball Courts at the Community Center.

City Newsletter - Calendar of Events

Councilor Haws referenced the calendar of events located on the back of the City Newsletter. He stated this does not include the Strategic Planning dates, nor other publicly noticed meetings such as the one with Representative Brad Dee. Mr. Hansen stated there is a small ad on the front page of the newsletter with regards to the legislative meeting. Councilor Haws stated he would like to suggest looking more into the calendaring of city items and keeping the public informed. He suggested items such as the court schedule, recreational signup opportunities, or anything else that may be of interest to the citizens. In an effort to keep the public informed, he suggested posting on the website as well.

With no further business to come before the Council at this time, Councilor Haws moved to adjourn the meeting. Councilor Gibby seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 8:12 p.m.

Attest:

Approved: February 21, 2006

Cindi Mansell, City Recorder

Bruce Burrows, Mayor